IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.385-M of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 26, 2007

Manjit Kaur and another

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Ms.Harkamal Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr.N.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.Sunil Chadha, Advocate,
for the complainant.

...

The petitioners apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.244 dated 23.11.2006 registered under Sections 181/182/193/468/471/120-B IPC at Police Station City, District Kapurthala, have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard the counsel for the parties.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are aged parents of Sarabjit Singh and as per the allegations in the aforesaid FIR, the petitioners moved an application in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Kapurthala to get marriage ability certificate of their son. When notice of the said application was issued, the same was contested by one Charanjit Kaur claiming herself to be legally wedded wife of Sarabjit Singh. The aforesaid FIR has been lodged on the complaint made by Charanjit Kaur on the allegations that false information was supplied to the authorities.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that in terms of the interim order dated January 9, 2007, the petitioners have joined the investigation. This fact has not been disputed by the State counsel and he further on instructions from ASI Mohan Lal states that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, the interim order dated January 9, 2007 is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 26, 2007 (SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
vkg JUDGE